

研究結果報告書

島根県と寧夏における農村経済合作組織発展中の政府行為に関する研究

所属： 宁夏大学 西部发展研究中心（中国 宁夏大学 西部发展研究中心）
役職： 付研究员
氏名： 刘学武（劉学武）

中日両国における農村経済協力機構発展中の政府行為に関する研究

劉学武¹ 藏志勇²

要旨：中国と日本の農村の経済合作組織発展のプロセスによって、両国政府における農業経済協力機構の発展過程の中に役割の様式と内容が違ふ。つまり、

一、中日両国における農村経済協同組織発展に関する政府介入方式の差異

日本の農協は政府の指示を受けて建てられ、準政府の機能がある。全体にとって、日本の農協が発展の中で政府と協同組合、あるいは農民との関係はすでに制度化となり、農協が日本土地の私有と農民の経営分散の欠陥効果を補って、農民の小生産の制限を克服し、大手プロダクションの社会化の要求に適応した。

中国の農村経済協力機構は政府意思で設立したが、準政府の機能を持っていない、政府が農民の経済協力組織の中で職能や不当な立場で、主要な表現は以下の通りである。

つまり、代行——根を抜いて生長に助ける。転位——計画経済の慣性を脱き具体的に介入する。不整備——サービス体系の整備が不足である。

二、中日両国政府により農民経済協力機構の管理方式の差異

日本政府は農協への管理に監査の方法で管理を行う。農協の監査が内部監査、システム監査、行政監察三つ分けて監察を行い、監察自体が強制性あり、監察のポイントは農協（農協連合会）の各項業務、財務の合法性などである。

中国政府は政策の支持、直接に資金の支援、考え方の誘導などの方式で農村専門経済協力機構の管理を行う。実践の中で、中国政府が農民合作経済組織に具体的な経営管理活動を介入しすぎ、農民の経済発展協力組織多くの隠れた危険を埋め、政府が市場経営の代わりに、独占で利益を形成し、農民の利益は政府による横領し、農民合作経済組織の経営が政府に頼りすぎて、自治体の発展権を喪失した。

三、島根県農協発展中の政府行為から寧夏の農村専門経済協力機構に関する啓示

中国政府は未来の農村の専門経済協力機構を効率に発展させるなら、以下のいくつかの方面を整備しなければならない。つまり、1、農民の経済組織発展中の政府の役を合理に位置づけ。2、外部ソフト環境建設の強化と、農民の合作経済組織の発展に有利な条件の創造。3、宣伝の強化と、農民合作経済組織の発展に良好な雰囲気を作成。4、財政投資の拡大と、農民の経済組織の協力発展の保障の提供。5、人的資源の育成、農民の経済組織の協力発展人材の確保。

キーワード：農村の経済合作組織 政府行為 島根県 寧夏

1. 劉学武 中国 宁夏大学 西部发展研究中心。
2. 藏志勇 中国 宁夏大学 中日国際共同研究所。

研究成果の公表について(予定も含む)

口頭発表 (題名・発表者名・会議名・日時・場所等)

論文 (題名・発表者名・論文掲載誌・掲載時期等)

書籍 (題名・著者名・出版社・発行時期等)

COMPLETION REPORT

Comparative Study on Shimane, Ningxia's Government Behaviors
in the Development of Rural Economic Cooperation Organization

Xuewu Liu
Researcher
Research Center for Western Development
Ningxia University

Research on government behavior during the development of Rural Economic Cooperation Organization in China and Japan

Liu Xuewu 3, Cang Zhiyong 4

Content abstract

The developmental process of agricultural economy between China and Japan is different, there are differences about the mode of action and effects of content during the development process of the agricultural economic cooperative organization in China and Japan:

1. The style which government intervene is different when governments of the China and Japan developed the professional cooperation organization in rural. JA is at the behest of the Japan's government, it has the quasi-governmental function. In the development process of JA, the relationship between the government and the cooperation and farmers have been institutionalized, the development of JA make up for the shortcomings of Japanese private ownership of land and farmers decentralized management effectively, overcomes the limitations of the small production, and adapt the requirements of production socialization.

The rural economic cooperation organization in China at the behest of the government, but not has the quasi governmental functions, and the existence of government functions Chinese, in the development of rural cooperative economic organizations in the role, situation, such as the offside - spoil things by excessive enthusiasm; dislocation- not break away from the iterance of planned economy but to intervene specific; phantom -problems of virtual construction service system etc..

2. The farmer economic cooperation organization management style is different between Beijing and Tokyo. Beijing and Tokyo's Management of farming assistance mainly through the way of supervision. Japanese farming assist supervision can be divided into three kinds, one is the internal supervision, the board of supervisors is farming assistance implementation of internal supervision; the second is the system of supervision, the peasant association organizations on a lower level of organization of supervising, is also a kind of support and supplement to the internal supervision system; The third is the administrative supervision, the administrative supervision departments according to the Agricultural Association (Cooperative Association) for monitoring, the key to supervision farming assistance business, financial legitimacy and so on. Chinese government carry on the management to the rural professional economic cooperation organization directly through policy support, financial support, ideological guidance. In practice the Chinese government intervene too much in the farmer cooperative economy organization specific business management activities, buried many hidden dangers for the development of rural cooperative economic organizations, such as government intervention instead of market operation, the formation of profits through the monopoly, which leading to the government occupation the interests of farmers; farmer cooperative economy organization in management is likely to rely on government too much in management, loss autonomous right to develop.

3. Revelation of the government behavior in the development of Shimane Agricultural Association of Ningxia government in the development of rural professional economic cooperation organization has: One is the reasonable orientation of the government in the development of rural cooperative economic organizations in the role of. The second is to strengthen the construction of soft environment, create favorable conditions for the development of farmer cooperation economic organization. The third is to strengthen the propaganda, to create a good atmosphere for the deve

lopment of farmer cooperation economic organization. The fourth is to increase financial input, and provide strong security for the development of farmer cooperation economic organization. The fifth is to do a good job in human resources development, to provide the talent guarantee for the development of rural cooperative economic organizations.

3. Liu Xuewu West Development Research Center of Ningxia University in Chinese.
4. Cang Zhiyong Chinese Sino Japanese Joint Research Institute of Ningxia University in Chinese.

Publication of the Results of Research Project:

| |
|--|
| Verbal Presentation (Date, Venue, Name of Conference, Title of Presentation, Presenter, etc.) N/A |
| Thesis (Name of Journal and its Date, Title and Author of Thesis, etc.) N/A |
| Book (Publisher and Date of the Book, Title and Author of the Book, etc.) N/A |